

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/044,466 | 01/10/2002 | Dennis J. Brunner | 89190.079101/DP-305547 | 9887 |
| 75 | 90 05/03/2004 | EXAMINER | | |
| Delphi Techno | ologies, Inc. | FERGUSON, MICHAEL P | | |
| P.O. Box 5052 Mail Code 4804 | 114420 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Troy, MI 48007 | | | 3679 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | Appli | cation No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | 10/044,466 BRUNNER ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Exam | niner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Micha | ael P. Ferguson | 3679 | | | | | |
| | - The MAILING DATE of this commun | nication appears o | n the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence ad | ldress | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | AONTHION EDOM | | | | | |
| THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (in period for reply is specified above, the maximum significant for reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within th atutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause th | no event, however, may a ne statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MOI ne application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this countries BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <u>12 <i>April 200</i></u> | 0 4 . | | | | | | |
| / | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | , | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) 🛛 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restri | ction and/or electi | on requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 - | The specification is objected to by the | ie Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)🖾 | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| , — | Applicant may not request that any obje | ection to the drawing | g(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) 🗌 . | The oath or declaration is objected t | o by the Examine | r. Note the attache | d Office Action or form P | TO-152. | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12)[] | Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority | y under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority | documents have | been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority | documents have | been received in A | Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies | of the priority doo | cuments have beer | received in this National | Stage | | | | |
| | application from the Internation | , | | | | | | | |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action | on for a list of the | certified copies not | t received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | • • | | A) 🗖 Intendio | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I | PTO-948) | Paper No | (s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Inform | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date | | 5) Notice of Other: | Informal Patent Application (PTC | O-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/044,466 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 12, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morelli et al. (US 5,688,070).

As to claim 1, Morelli et al. discloses an apparatus for securing a hub to a shaft, comprising:

- a) a cylindrical shaft **144** having a longitudinal keyway formed in an outer surface thereof, the keyway having a bottom portion and two side walls;
- b) a hub **164** having a cylindrical axial bore defining a wall in the hub and being disposable on the shaft to define a maximum distance from the keyway bottom portion to the bore wall, the wall being cylindrical about the entire surface of the axial bore

Art Unit: 3679

(having a cylindrical keyway; the wall relating to the form of a cylinder along the entire surface of the axial bore); and

c) a tapered locking key **56,171** (taper shown in Figure 4) for insertion into the keyway between the keyway bottom portion and the bore wall, the key having a pre-insertion maximum height greater than the maximum distance such that the hub is deformed by the insertion, whereby the hub is rotationally and axially secured onto the shaft (Figures 4, 6a, 6c and 6f, column 1 lines 12-36, column 4 lines 9-39).

As to claim 2, Morelli et al. discloses an apparatus wherein a hub **164** is formed of a deformable polymer having a first hardness (column 4 lines 9-39).

As to claim 3, Morelli et al. discloses an apparatus wherein a key **171** is formed of metal (column 4 lines 9-39).

As to claim 4, Morelli et al. discloses an apparatus wherein a key **171** has a second hardness greater than a first hardness (column 4 lines 9-39).

As to claim 5, Morelli et al. discloses an apparatus wherein a locking key 171 is an end key in a chain of connected keys (inherently, through the manufacturing process, whether extrusion or casting, locking key 171 is severed from a mass of raw material from which a chain of keys is produced), the end key being severable from the chain (during the manufacturing process).

Applicant is reminded that process limitations are given no patentable weight in product claims. See MPEP § 2113. "The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. " In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695,698,USPQ 964,966 (Fed.Cir.1985).

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 6, Morelli et al. discloses an apparatus wherein a shaft **144** is a throttle shaft (shaft **144** controls the speed at which other gears or members which are meshed with hub **164** rotate; thus shaft **144** defines a throttle shaft) and a hub **164** is a portion of a shaft rotary position sensor (other gears or members rotate in response to the rotary position of hub **164**; thus hub **164** defines a rotary position sensor) (Figures 6a, 6c and 6f).

As to claim 7, Morelli et al. discloses a method for securing a hub **164** having a cylindrical axial bore defined by a bore wall onto a cylindrical shaft **144**, the bore wall being cylindrical about the entire surface of the axial bore (having a cylindrical keyway; the bore wall relating to the form of a cylinder along the entire surface of the axial bore), the method comprising the steps of:

- a) providing a longitudinal keyway in the shaft, the keyway having a bottom portion and two side walls;
- b) disposing the entirely cylindrical axial bore of the hub onto the shaft to define a maximum distance between the keyway bottom portion and the bore wall;
- c) providing a wedging means **171**; and
 d) inserting the wedging means into the keyway between the keyway bottom portion
 and the bore wall (Figures 4, 6a, 6c and 6f, column 1 lines 12-36, column 4 lines 9-39).

As to claim 8, Morelli et al. discloses a method wherein a wedging means **171** is a locking key having a maximum height greater than a maximum distance (Figures 4, 6a, 6c and 6f).

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 9, Morelli et al. discloses a method further comprising the step of advancing a locking key **171** into a keyway until the point of a maximum height is axially centered within a hub bore (Figures 4, 6a, 6c and 6f).

3. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kindelmann et al. (US 1,866,112).

As to claim 7, Kindelmann et al. discloses a method for securing a hub **10** having a cylindrical axial bore defined by a bore wall onto a cylindrical shaft **12**, the bore wall being cylindrical about the entire surface of the axial bore, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) providing a longitudinal keyway in the shaft, the keyway having a bottom portion and two side walls;
- b) disposing the entirely cylindrical axial bore of the hub onto the shaft to define a maximum distance between the keyway bottom portion and the bore wall;
- c) providing a wedging means 17; andd) inserting the wedging means into the keyway between the keyway bottom portionand the bore wall (Figures 1-4).

As to claim 8, Kindelmann et al. discloses a method wherein a wedging means

17 is a locking key having a maximum height greater than a maximum distance (Figures

1 and 2).

As to claim 9, Kindelmann et al. discloses a method further comprising the step of advancing a locking key 17 into a keyway until the point of a maximum height is axially centered within a hub bore (Figures 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 3679

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Preston, Sr. (US 1,560,399).

As to claim 13, Preston, Sr. discloses an apparatus for securing a hub to a shaft, comprising:

- a) a shaft 22 having an entirely cylindrical outer surface;
- b) a hub **20** having an axial bore defining a wall in the hub and having a longitudinal keyway formed in an inner surface thereof, the keyway having a bottom portion, the hub being disposable on the shaft to define a maximum distance from the keyway bottom portion to the outer surface; and
- c) a longitudinally tapered (having a tapered lengthwise edge) locking key **10** for insertion into the keyway between the keyway bottom portion and the shaft surface, the key having a pre-insertion maximum height greater than the maximum distance such that the shaft is deformed by the insertion, whereby the hub is rotationally and axially secured onto the shaft (Figures 3-9).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Ferguson whose telephone number is (703)308-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/044,466

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703)308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MPF 4/27/04

> DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Siniel P Stodola